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#### Abstract

Reduction of isopropyldimethylsilyl-substituted titanocene dichloride $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1) by excess magnesium in the presence of excess bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (btmse) in tetrahydrofuran at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded a mixture of products amongst them only the trinuclear $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Ti}$ hydrido-bridged complex $\mathrm{Mg}\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)\right]_{2}$ (3) was isolated and characterized. The precursor of titanocene, $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-btmse)] (6), was obtained from the identical system which, after initial formation of $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{2})$, reacted at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and then the solution was rapidly separated from the remaining magnesium. Titanocene $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\right](7)$ was obtained by thermolysis of $\mathbf{6}$ at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuum. Crystal structures of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}$, and $\mathbf{7}$ were determined.
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## 1. Introduction

Thermally stable, well-defined crystalline titanocenes are known only a few, all of them containing triorganylsilyl group in addition to four methyl groups on each of the two cyclopentadienyl ligands [1-3]. The titanocene containing tert-butyldimethylsilyl group was obtained from the appropriate titanocene monochloride by the reduction with sodium amalgam [1], that one containing trimethylsilyl group by thermolysis of its bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (btmse) complex [2], and the titanocenes containing phenethyldimethylsilyl and methyldiphenylsilyl groups were prepared by a direct reduction of the particular titanocene dichloride by magnesium in tetrahydrofuran (thf) [3] (Chart 1). Decamethyltitanocene [4] seems to be further

[^0]stabilized by the replacement of one methyl group on each cyclopentadienyl ligand by a more bulky group, like iso-propyl or tert-butyl [5]. Although none of these solely carbonaceous titanocenes has been crystallographically characterized the crystal structures of their nitrogen complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)\right][6]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] were determined [5]. The stability of titanocenes $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)_{2}\right]$ and their nitrogen complexes follow opposite trends. The thermal stability of the nitrogen complexes was found to be decreasing in the order of $\mathrm{R}: \mathrm{Me}>\mathrm{Pr}^{i}>\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}>\mathrm{Bu}^{t}$ [5], and for the titanocenes it is only known that the titanocene for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ is stable at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [2] whereas that for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ forms an equilibrium with its hydride at room temperature [4]. A similar trend applies also to titanocene-btmse complexes. The $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-btmse)] complex for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ thermolyzes at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the double tucked-in (allyl-diene) titanocene $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\right]$ [7] whereas for $\mathrm{R}=$ $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ it eliminates smoothly btmse to give the titanocene

\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}= & \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} t-\mathrm{Bu}[1] \\
& \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}[2] \\
& \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}[3]
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$\mathrm{SiMePh}_{2}$ [3]

Chart 1.
at only $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [2]. On the other hand, the titanocene for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMePh}_{2}$ coordinates btmse reluctantly forming an observable equilibrium concentration of the adduct only at a large excess of free btmse [3], and neither the titanocene nor its btmse complex was found for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)[8]$.

In this work, we investigate the preparation of the titanocene for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}$ attempting a direct reduction by magnesium as well as the route via thermolysis of its btmse complex.

## 2. Results and discussion

The synthesis of 5-(isopropyldimethylsilyl)-1,2,3, 4-tetramethylcyclopenta-1,3-diene $\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and the corresponding titanocene dichloride $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}$ (1) thereof followed in all respects the synthesis of the trimethylsilyl derivatives (Scheme 1) [9]. Both the compounds were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR, and EI-MS spectra and compound 1 by X-ray diffraction analysis. The EI-MS spectra of the both compounds showed fragmentations with the loss of $\operatorname{Pr}^{i}$ and Me groups. Com-


Scheme 1.
pound $\mathbf{1}$ did not exert its molecular ion but gave a fragment ion arising from the loss of one cyclopentadienyl ligand as a base peak. The IR spectra showed absorption bands typical for the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ group at 1247 (vs) and 881 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and very strong bands at 815 and $830 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ or 811 (vs), 825 (s), and 834 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{1}$. These bands vary only slightly in all the other titanocene derivatives.

The reduction of $\mathbf{1}$ by a half molar equivalent of Mg rapidly afforded the blue, paramagnetic monochloride $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\right]$ (2) which displayed typical ESR and electronic absorption spectra common for highly methylsubstituted titanocene monochlorides [10,11]. The EI-MS spectra showed the molecular ion base peak which fragmentated with a loss of Me or $\mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ group. These fragments were then loosing the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ or the whole $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}$ ligand. Surprisingly, elimination of Cl or $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}$ from the molecular ion was not observed at all. The monomeric chemical formula of $\mathbf{2}$ was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure analysis (vide infra).

The clue complex for the thermolytic synthesis of titanocene, its btmse complex, could not be obtained by a standard procedure [7,12], i.e., the reduction of 1 with excess magnesium in thf in the presence of a 5 -fold molar excess of btmse at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Such a reaction resulted in the formation of a complex mixture of products (Scheme 2) from which the products low-soluble in hexane $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4})$ and those contained in the mother liquor (5) were separated. The former products were dissolved in toluene and characterized by EPR spectra. The EPR spectra in solution and in the frozen glass indicated the presence of two titanocene-magnesium complex hydrides of known structural types. The trinuclear $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Ti}$ hydrido complex $\mathrm{Mg}\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}(\mathrm{Si}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (3) was easily recognized by EPR spectrum of the frozen glass showing an electronic triplet state of axial symmetry and its solid state structure was proved by X-ray diffraction analysis (vide infra). Compounds of the general formula $\operatorname{Mg}\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ are known for $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ [13], $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HMe}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ [14], $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Ph}$ [15], and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ [8]. For this type of compounds the magnitude of the zero-field splitting $D$ spans a narrow range of values $\left(D_{\max }=0.0133 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ for $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{HMe}_{4}$ [14] and $D_{\text {min }}=0.0116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ [8]) because the distance between the spin-unpaired electrons residing on the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})$ atoms varies within $0.2 \AA$ only. The present value of $D=0.0117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is close to that for the above mentioned


Scheme 2.
silyl-substituted derivative, and corresponds well with the crystallographic $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Ti}$ distance of 5.779 (1) $\AA$ for 3 (vide infra). The molecule of $\mathbf{3}$ could not be evaporated without decomposition in mass spectrometer, and hence, the EI-MS spectra show only the titanocene fragment ions arising from the loss of hydrogen. The presence of bridging hydrogen atoms in $\mathbf{3}$ is evidenced by a broad absorption band in IR spectrum at $1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is typical for this species [8,13-15].

The evidence for the other, very minor titanocene component 4 in the low-hexane soluble fraction was obtained by ESR spectroscopy only. The ESR spectrum of the toluene solution displayed a triplet 1:2:1 characterized by $g=1.990$ and coupling constant $a=7.7 \mathrm{G}$. Such a signal is typical for $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\right] \mathrm{M}$ species where M can be an alkali metal [16] or magnesium [17]. The magnesium complexes were further shown to be dimeric, bridged by halogen atoms and coordinating either diethyl ether [18a] or thf by their magnesium atoms (Chart 2, A) [18b]. The other alternative is the bridging of magnesium atoms by methylene carbon atoms as it was found in the main product of the reduction of $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ with excess magnesium at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the absence of btmse (Chart 2, B) [19]. Since compound 4 could not be isolated for X-ray diffraction analysis and the ESR spectra are not sensitive enough to determine the nature of the bridging elements between the magnesium atoms it has to be concluded that compound $\mathbf{4}$ is a dimeric titanocene-magnesium hydride of type $\mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ depicted in Chart 2.

The hexane mother liquor of the above reduction contained a highly soluble product 5 which did not form crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. This khaki-colored product is paramagnetic, giving ESR spectra in toluene solution and glass compatible with a monomeric species $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{TiL}$ where L is carbyl. Its EI-MS spectra show the $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{++}$molecular ion ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 489$ at $88 \%$ abundance) accompanied by a rich fragment pattern arising from losses of hydrogen atoms thereof, e.g., $m / z 485$ (100). The molecular peak, however, became a base peak when the measurement was carried out at low ionization potential of 15 eV . Since the similar $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] / \mathrm{Mg}$ (excess)/thf/ btmse(excess) system at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced the compound $\mathbf{C}$ [19] depicted in Chart 2 we can assume that the structure of $\mathbf{5}$ is also similar. However, in the absence of X-ray crystal structure it is impossible to establish whether the intramolecular Ti-carbyl bond is generated from the methyl
group (as in $\mathbf{C}$, Chart 2) or from the internal carbon atom of the isopropyl group.

The formation of $\mathbf{3}$ in the present system, which was not observed in analogous system with $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] [19], is probably due to the presence of a bulky isopropyldimethylsilyl group promoting an easier dissociation of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ from compound $\mathbf{4}$ (structure $\mathbf{A}$ in Chart 2) to give 3. The trinuclear $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Ti}$ hydride complex analogous to $\mathbf{3}$ was previously obtained from $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] although in a very low yield [8]. The further analogous experiment carried out at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a refrigerator for 2 days afforded a similar mixture of products, therefore the synthesis of the titano-cene-btmse complex was attempted at a yet lower temperature.

### 2.1. Preparation of bis $\{($ isopropyldimethylsilyl)tetramethylcyclopentadienyl\}titanium (II) (7)

The required precursor to titanocene, $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-btmse) $](6)$, was obtained following the protocol for the synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-btmse $\left.)\right][2]$ and using a 5 -fold molar excess of btmse. When compound $\mathbf{1}$ was reduced to $\mathbf{2}$, which was manifested by turning to blue color, the reaction mixture was placed into a freezer at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Then, the solution was rapidly poured away from excessive magnesium, evaporated, and yellow product 6 was extracted by a minimum amount of hexane. Crystalline 6 was obtained by cooling of its concentrated solution. This was used for all characterization. Its EIMS spectra showed the spectra of titanocene $7(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 490)$ and btmse, the latter decreasing in intensity during the sample evaporation. This is due to the ease of thermal dissociation of 6 (see below) and high volatility of btmse. On the other hand, the IR spectrum of 6 did not indicate its low thermal stability. The $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$ vibration of 6 gives rise to three absorption bands at 1634 (w), 1599 (s), and 1562 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ which differ only negligibly in wavenumbers and shape from other highly substituted $\left[\operatorname{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{R}\right)_{2}\left(\eta^{2}\right.$-btmse)] complexes: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, 1620$ (w), 1595 (s), and 1560 (w) [2]; Me, 1638 (w), 1595 (m), and 1562 (w); H, 1658 (w), 1602 (s), and 1568 (w) [7]. Since these complexes loose btmse at temperatures $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \quad 1,2$-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethene at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$, and at $>130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ [7] these figures show that the thermolytic temperature of these compounds can-
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Chart 2.
not be predicted from the wavenumbers of the $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$ absorption bands. The similar conclusion stems also from electronic absorption spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ whose absorption band at 940 nm occurs in a nearow range $920-980 \mathrm{~nm}$ for the above mentioned btmse complexes [2,7]. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 6 were not measured because when diluting solid 6 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ the yellow color of its solution was rapidly turning to a turquoise color typical for titanocenes $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{8})$ or $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)_{2}\right]$ (7) (see below). Then, it turned out that a dilution of the hexane or benzene solution of 6 induces its dissociation quite reproducibly. In such solutions, containing an equimolar concentration of free btmse, equilibrium concentrations of $\mathbf{6}$ were observed displaying a weak electronic absorption band at 940 nm .

Titanocene 7 was obtained analogously to titanocene $\mathbf{8}$ by thermolysis of solid 6 at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a high vacuum (Scheme 3). The samples of $\mathbf{6}$ which dissociated in diluted solutions were evaporated and thermolyzed in vacuum at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as well in order to complete the dissociation of btmse. The non-volatile titanocene was crystallized from hexane to give prisms which were turquoise in incident light and red in transmitted light, similarly to $\mathbf{8}$. The compound was further characterized by IR spectrum, EI-MS spectra with the molecular ion to be a base peak, and by electronic absorption spectrum showing a distinct absorption band at 578 nm , in line with the spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ $(570 \mathrm{~nm})$ [2] and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)_{2}\right]$ (9) (580 nm) [1]. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 7 showed four broad signals, whose half-widths and chemical shifts were temperature dependent. The chemical shifts obeyed Curie law fitting to linear dependence $\delta=a+b T^{-1}$. The signals were tentatively assigned to ring methyl protons, silylmethyl and isopropyl protons based on previous assignments for $\mathbf{8}$ [2], $\mathbf{9}$ [1], and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] [4a]. Although the dissociation of the $\mathbf{8}$-btmse complex to give $\mathbf{8}$ by the dilution of its solutions was also previously described [2] compound 6 seems to be dissociating easier, and correspondingly, the titanocene 7 is probably slightly more stable than titanocene $\mathbf{8}$.

### 2.2. X-ray crystal structures of isopropyldimethylsilyl titanocene complexes

The titanocene dichloride $\mathbf{1}$, monochloride $\mathbf{2}$, and titano-cene-btmse complex 6 possess crystallographicaly asymmetrical molecules crystallizing in monoclinic space
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Scheme 3.
groups. The PLATON representations of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ (molecule 1 of the two independent molecules), and $\mathbf{6}$ are depicted in Figs. 1-3, respectively, and their important geometric parameters are given in Table 1. Compared with structures of their trimethylsilyl [2,9] or dimethyl(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)silyl [8] analoga the compounds show very similar overall geometric parameters, like $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cg}, \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}$ (btmse) distances and $\mathrm{Cg}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cg}$ or $\varphi$ angles. The molecule 1 and molecule 2 of $\mathbf{2}$ differ by mutual positions of their cyclopentadienyl ligands characterized by torsion angles $\mathrm{C}(101)-$ $\mathrm{Cg}(1)-\mathrm{Cg}(2)-\mathrm{C}(110) 106.3(2)^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 2) and $\mathrm{C}(201)-$ $\mathrm{Cg}(3)-\mathrm{Cg}(4)-\mathrm{C}(210) 179.6(2)^{\circ}$. The placement of the silyl substituents on the opposite sides of the $\mathrm{Cg}(3), \mathrm{Ti}(2)$, $\mathrm{Cg}(4)$ plane found in molecule 2 was also observed in $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][9]$ or $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] [8] whereas the situation of the silyl groups closer to the open titanocene shell, as in molecule 1, was found in $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right]$ (torsion angle of $\left.104.0(2)^{\circ}\right)$ [3]. The crystal packing in 2 apparently "compresses" molecule 1 since both the $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ bond length of $2.3157(11) \AA$ and average $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cg}$ distance of $2.0710(15) \AA$ are shorter than the corresponding values for molecule $2 \mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2) 2.3394(10) \AA$ and $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cg}$ of $2.0741(15) \AA$. The average values for both molecules of 2 do not differ significantly from the values observed in the above mentioned titanocene monochlorides. The bonding and structure of the btmse ligand in $\mathbf{6}$ do not differ from those of highly substituted $\left[\left(\mathrm{TiCp}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right.$-btmse] complexes [12]: $\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ [20], $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ [7], $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ [2], $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}$ (4-fluorophenyl) [21] or [ $\mathrm{TiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)_{2}$-btmse] [22]. Examination of the cyclopentadienyl ligand structures confirmed the general feature of the silyl-substituted tetramethylcyclopentadienyl ligands that the ring angle at the carbon atom bearing the silicon atom is acute (av. $105^{\circ}$ ) compared with the other ring angles (av. $108^{\circ}$ ). The


Fig. 1. The molecular structure of compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( $30 \%$ probability thermal motion ellipsoids) showing the atom numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 2. The molecular structure of molecule 1 of $2(30 \%$ probability thermal motion ellipsoids) showing the atom numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 3. The molecular structure of 6 ( $30 \%$ probability thermal motion ellipsoids) showing the atom numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for molecules of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$, and $\mathbf{6}^{\text {a }}$

| Atoms | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.3576(7)$ | $2.3157(11)$ | $2.129(3)^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.3709(7)$ | - | $2.132(3)^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cg}(1)$ | $2.128(1)$ | $2.0726(15)$ | $2.128(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cg}(2)$ | $2.128(1)$ | $2.0694(15)$ | $2.143(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\text { ring })_{\mathrm{av}}$ | $1.420(3)$ | $1.420(5)$ | $1.416(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{\mathrm{av}}$ | $1.503(3)$ | $1.508(5)$ | $1.506(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.893(2)$ | $1.875(3)$ | $1.879(4)$ |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cg}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cg}(2)$ | $137.92(5)$ | $142.23(6)$ | $142.21(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $128.0(2)$ | $128.8(2)$ | $121.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $124.7(2)$ | $124.5(2)$ | $127.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $105.9(2)$ | $106.3(3)$ | $104.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.7(2)$ | $109.0(3)$ | $109.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $108.5(2)$ | $107.7(3)$ | $109.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.7(2)$ | $108.3(3)$ | $107.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $109.0(2)$ | $108.7(3)$ | $109.5(3)$ |
| $\varphi^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | $36.1(1)$ | $41.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $90.9(1)$ | - | $35.59(13)^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The geometric data are given for the cyclopentadienyl ring denoted by lower numbers and $\mathrm{Cg}(1)$; the data for the ring denoted by higher numbers which determine $\mathrm{Cg}(2)$ do not differ remarkably and are not listed.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The data are given for molecule 1 out of two independent molecules present in the unit cell. Atoms in molecule 1 of Fig. 1 are labeled with numbers increased by 10 for Si and by 100 for C .
${ }^{c}$ For compound 6 read $\mathrm{C}(29)$ instead of $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(30)$ instead of $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$. The bond length $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ is $1.302(5) \AA$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Dihedral angle between least-squares planes of the cyclopentadienyl rings.
silicon atom is further substantially deviated from the axis of this angle depending on the steric hindrance of the silyl substituent with neighbouring methyl groups (see difference in the $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Si}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ angles in Table 1).

The trinuclear complex $\mathbf{3}$ crystallizes in orthorhombic space group Pbcn, and its molecules are symmetrical with respect to a 2 -fold rotation axis involving Ti and Mg atoms (Fig. 4). In the so far known symmetrical molecules of this type complexes with ligands $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{H}\right)$ [14] or ( $\eta^{5}$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) [8] the 2 -fold rotation axis was perpendicular to the present one. Important geometric parameters for 3 are listed in Table 2. The two $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}(\mu-H)_{2} \mathrm{Mg}$ moieties are mutually rotated by nearly $90^{\circ}$, the bridging metal-hydride bonds form planes with virtually equal $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of $1.83(2) \AA$. Compared with the above dimethyl(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)silyl complex where the Ti-Ti distance was $5.919(2) \AA$ [8] compound 3 is more strongly bonded showing the Ti-Ti distance of only 5.779(2) A.

Compound 7 crystallizes in monoclinic space group, the unit cell contains two molecules which are centrosymmetric. The precision of geometric data is low due to high thermal parameters and a disorder imposed over the isopropyl group (see Fig. 5). The most valuable data on the structure of the titanocene skeleton are given in Table 3. They show that the Ti-Cg distance of $2.0135(16) \AA$ is slightly shorter than in $\mathbf{8}(2.020(2) \AA)$ [2] and in $9(2.018(4) \AA)$ [1]. As in


Fig. 4. The molecular structure of 3 ( $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids) with atom numbering scheme. All hydrogen atoms except those in intermetallic bridging positions are omitted for clarity. The prime-labeled and nonlabeled atoms were generated by symmetry operation $(-x, y, 1 / 2-z)$.
all other cases, the cyclopentadienyl ring is distorted by the acute ring angle at the silicon-bearing $\mathrm{C}(1)$ atom. Deviation of the silicon atom from the axis of this angle is largely smeared by high thermal parameters and the isopropyl group disorder.

### 2.3. Conclusions

As follows from crystallographic data the modification of the trimethylsilyl group by a more bulky and more electron donating isopropyl group resulted in a slight stabilization of low-valent titanocene compound $\mathbf{3}$ with respect to


Fig. 5. The molecular structure of 7 ( $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids) with atom numbering scheme. Non-labeled cyclopentadienyl ligand was generated by the symmetry operation $(-x+1,-y+1,-z+1)$. Disordered positions of isopropyl carbon atoms are denoted by dashed lines. For clarity, all hydrogen atoms are omitted.
the trifluoropropyldimethylsilyl derivative and titanocene 7 with respect to titanocene 8 . The reaction pathway to titanocene 7 through the thermolysis of its btmse complex in high vacuum affords practically clean product. The thermal stability of $\mathbf{7}$ is slightly higher than that of $\mathbf{8}$.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. Methods

Syntheses of 5-(isopropyldimethylsilyl)-1,2,3,4-tetra-methylcyclopenta-1,3-diene and titanocene dichloride

Table 2
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 3

| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cg}(1)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2.080(2) | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cg}(2)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2.076(2) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 1.83(2) | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.82(2) |
| $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 1.83(2) | $\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.85 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\text { ring })_{\mathrm{av}}$ | 1.423(4) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{\mathrm{av}}$ | 1.508(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}(1)$ | 1.865(3) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{Si}(2)$ | 1.868(3) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Mg}$ | 2.8909(13) | Ti(2)-Mg | 2.8880(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)^{\text {b }}$ | 5.779(2) |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cg}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cg}\left(1^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 143.41(5) | $\mathrm{Cg}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cg}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 144.61(5) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)^{\text {c }}$ | 75.3(9) | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 77.1(10) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 75.4(9) | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 75.4(9) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{Mg}$ | 104.7(10) | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{Mg}-$ | 103.7(10) |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 124.8(7) | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 134.54 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 123.4(7) | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Ti}(2)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $180 \varphi(1)^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | 35.68(7) | $\varphi(2)^{\text {d }}$ | 35.76(8) |
| $\tau^{\text {e }}$ | 87.6(1.5) |  |  |

[^1]Table 3
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 7

| Bond lengths |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Ti-Cg |  |  |  |
| Ti- | $2.0135(16)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.310(3)$ |
| Ti-C(2) | $2.334(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.380(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ci}(4)$ | $2.370(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.330(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{ring})_{\mathrm{av}}$ | $1.412(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{\mathrm{av}}$ | $1.507(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}$ | $1.871(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{Si}$ | $1.857(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Si}$ | $1.862(7)$ |  |  |
| Bond angles |  |  |  |
| Cg-Ti-Cg | 180 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $104.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $109.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $107.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $109.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}$ | $126.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Si}$ | $127.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $125.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $124.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $126.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $124.4(4)$ |
| $\varphi^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0 |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Cg}$ denotes the centroid of the $\mathrm{C}(1-5)$ cyclopentadienyl ring atoms.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Prime-labeled equivalent positions are generated by the symmetry operation $(-x+1,-y+1,-z+1)$.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Dihedral angle between the least-squares cyclopentadienyl planes.
thereof were carried out under argon. All manipulations with $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds were performed under vacuum on an all-glass high vacuum line using sealed glass devices equipped with breakable seals. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(125 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX500 spectrometer in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solutions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The spectra of 7 were further investigated over the temperature range $20-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chemical shifts ( $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ ) are given relative to solvent signals ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.15, \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 128.0$ ). EI-MS spectra were obtained on a VG-7070E mass spectrometer at 70 eV . Crystalline samples in sealed capillaries were opened and inserted into the direct inlet under argon. EPR spectra were recorded on an ERS-220 spectrometer (Center for Production of Scientific Instruments, Academy of Sciences of GDR, Berlin, Germany) operated by a CU-1 unit (Magnettech, Berlin, Germany) in the X-band. $g$-Values were determined by using an $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ standard at $g=1.9860\left(M_{\mathrm{I}}=-1 / 2\right.$ line). A variable temperature unit STT-3 was used for measurements in the range -196 to $+25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. UV-near IR spectra in the range of $280-2000 \mathrm{~nm}$ were measured on a Varian Cary 17 D spectrometer in all-sealed quartz cells (Hellma). IR spectra were taken in an air-protecting cuvette on a Nicolet Avatar FTIR spectrometer in the range $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{KBr}$ pellets were prepared in a glovebox Labmaster 130 (mBraun) under purified nitrogen. With the exception of air-stable titanocene dichloride 1, all studied titanium compounds are extremely air- and moisturesensitive, therefore the compounds were characterized by EI-MS spectra.

### 3.2. Chemicals

The solvents thf, hexane, and toluene were dried by refluxing over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and stored as solutions of dimeric titanocene $\left[\left(\mu-\eta^{5}: \eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right\}_{2}\right.$ ] [23]. $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (International Enzymes) was purified by refluxing over copper wire and distilled in vacuum. Butyllithium
(2.5 M in hexane, Aldrich), 1,2,3,4-tetramethylcyclopentadiene (mixture of isomers) and chlorodimethylisopropylsilane (Aldrich) were transferred via syringe under argon. Magnesium turnings (Aldrich, purum for Grignard reactions) were firstly used in a large excess for the preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{2}\right.\right.$-btmse $)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}$ ] [7]. Unreacted activated magnesium was separated from the reaction mixture, washed thoroughly with thf and stored in ampules equipped with breakable seals. Bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (btmse, Fluka) was degassed, stored as a solution of dimeric titanocene for 4 h , and finally distributed into ampoules by distillation on a vacuum line.

### 3.3. Synthesis of 5-(isopropyldimethylsilyl)-1,2,3,4-tetramethylcyclopenta-1,3-diene and bis \{( isopropyldimethylsilyl)tetramethylcyclopentadienyl\}dichlorotitanium (1)

1,2,3,4-Tetramethyl-cyclopenta-1,3-diene was obtained from 5-(dimethylisopropylsilyl)-1,2,3,4-tetramethylcyclopentadiene $(13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.113 \mathrm{~mol})$ by the reaction of its lithium salt (generated from stoichiometric amounts of 1,2,3,4tetramethylcyclopentadiene and 2.5 M LiBu ) with the equimolar amount of chlorodimethylisopropylsilane ( 15.4 g , $0.113 \mathrm{~mol})$ in thf. Yield $22.3 .0 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$.

GC MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, relative intensity, \%): 223 (17), $222\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; 79), $207\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Me}]^{+} ; 10\right), 180(32), 179\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+} ; 88\right), 121$ (13), 120 ([M-HSiMe $\left.{ }_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 44), 119 (19), 105 (28), 101 ( $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+} ; 73$ ), 91 (18), 77 (11), 75 (46), 74 (24), 73 ( $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+} ; 100$ ), 60 (13), 59 ( $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$; 93). 58 (10), 45 (16), 43 (20). IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2955 (vs), 2940 (vs), 2918 (s), 2863 (s), 2724 (vw), 1634 (w), 1462 (s), 1446 (m), 1381 (m), 1247 (s), 1219 (m), 1121 (w), 1110 (m), 1046 (m), 1024 (m), 997 (s), 982 (m), 951 (m), 920 (w), 881 ( s), 830 (vs), 815 (vs), 793 (m), 769 (s), 762 (s), 726 (w), 692 $(\mathrm{m}), 664(\mathrm{~m}), 590(\mathrm{~m}), 487(\mathrm{~m}), 420(\mathrm{vw})$.
$\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ (1) was prepared by reacting $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}(\mathrm{thf})_{3}\right]$ [generated in situ by adding LiBu in hexanes $(22.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of $1.6 \mathrm{M}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ to $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(4.0 \mathrm{ml}$, $36.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in thf $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ ] with the cyclopentadienyl lithium obtained by addition of $\mathrm{LiBu}(30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2.5 M in hexanes, 75.0 mmol ) to the above cyclopentadiene ( 16.2 g , $73.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in thf $(500 \mathrm{ml})$. After refluxing for 30 h and subsequent stirring with $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , the product was worked up as described for $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ [9]. Yield of brown crystals of 1 was $7.6 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$.

EI MS ( $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $m / z$ (relative abundance, \%) ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} ; 560$ ) not observed, 547 (5), 545 ([M-Me] ${ }^{+}$; 5), 527 (14), 526 (14), $525\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+} ; 26\right), 521$ (10), 520 (14), 519 (30), 518 (19), 517 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 36), 484 (8), $482\left(\left[\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Pr}^{i}-\mathrm{Cl}^{+} ; 8\right), 474\right.$ ([M-2 $\left.\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+} ; 7$ ), 343 (19), 342 (20), 341 (72), 340 (34), 339 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}\right]^{+} ; 100$ ), 338 (13), 337 (11), 299 (9), 298 (19), 297 (22), 296 ([M-Cp'- $\left.\mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 30), 295 (26), 294 (18), 222 (20), $221\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}\right]^{+}\right.$; 85), 179 (43), $178\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}\right.$; 43), 177 (20), 163 (24), 119 (19), 105 (10), 97 (10), 73 $\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+} ; 58\right), 59\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 66\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ :
$\delta 0.37$ (s, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si} M e_{2}\right) ; 0.79$ (d, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; 1.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) ; 2.03,2.17(2 \times \mathrm{s} 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-2.0\left(4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Si} M e_{2}\right)$; 12.9 (4C, CpMe); $15.1\left(\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right) ; 16.8\left(\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right) ; 18.2$ (4C, CpMe); 129.3, 135.9, 137.4 (Cp). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $2954(\mathrm{~s}), 2936$ (s), 2916 (m), 2861 (s), 1478 (m), 1459 (m), 1407 (w), 1375 (m), 1362 (vw), 1340 (w), 1247 (s), 1123 (vw), 1023 (w), 998 (m), 881 (m), 834 (s), 825 (s), 811 (vs), 769 (s), 746 (vw), 679 (m), 669 (vw), 590 (m), 433 (m). Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{M}=561.66)$ : $\mathrm{C}, 59.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.97$. Found: C, 59.84; H, 8,92\%.

### 3.4. Preparation of bis \{(isopropyldimethylsilyl) tetramethylcyclopentadienyl\}chlorotitanium (2)

Compound $1(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mg}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were degassed and thf $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ was added by distillation in vacuum. This mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ untill all magnesium disappeared (ca. 2 h ). The solvent was evaporated in vacuum, and the residue was extracted by 10 ml of hexane. A blue extract was concentrated to a volume of ca. 3 ml , and cooled in an ampule overnight to $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Blue crystalline $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right)\right\}\right]$ (2) was separated and dried in vacuum. Yield $0.41 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$.
M.p. $122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. EI MS $\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance, \%) 529 (9), 528 (21), 527 (54), 526 (51), $525\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; 100), 524 (16), 523 (12), 510 ([M-Me] ; 7), 485 (12), 484 (26), 483 (24), 482 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 48), 481 (7), 408 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2-}\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 7) 387 (8), 386 (12), 385 (30), 384 (10), 383 (22), 382 (16), 381 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\operatorname{Pr}^{i}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+}$; 30), 380 (14), 345 (16), 344 (15), 343 (9), 302 (10), 301 (20), 300 (12), 299 (35), 284 (10), 277 (13), 276 (12), 275 (26), 263 (30), 262 (28), 261 ( $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Pr}^{i}-\mathrm{Cp}^{\prime}\right]^{+} ; 80$ ), 260 (40), 259 (38), 258 (23), 257 (19), 256 (24), 245 (12), 244 (18), 243 (33), 242 (12), 229 (15), 206 (12), 205 (9), 204 (22), 203 (10), 202 (10), 177 (15), 168 (31), 167 (13), 166 (21), 119 (13), 101 (24), 73 (93), 59 (88). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2951 (vs), 2916 (s), 2861 (s), 1462 (m), 1412 (w), 1381 (m), 1331 (m), 1250 (s), 1178 (vw), 1126 (w), 1070 (vw), 1022 (m), 999 (m), 918 (vw), 881 (m), 834 (s), 810 (vs), 766 (m), 681 (m), 593 (w), 433 (m). EPR ( $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hexane): $\quad g=1.959$, $\Delta H=14.0 \mathrm{G}$; (toluene, $-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\mathrm{g}_{1}=1.999, g_{2}=1.991$ $g_{3}=1.889, \quad g_{\text {av }}=1.959 . \quad$ UV-Vis (hexane, $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $562>665(\mathrm{sh})$.

### 3.5. Preparation of bis \{(isopropyldimethylsilyl) tetramethyl-

 cyclopentadienyl\} titanium hydride-magnesium hydride complex (3)Compound $\mathbf{1}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mg}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were degassed and btmse $(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thf $(30 \mathrm{ml})$ were added by distillation in vacuum. This mixture was sealed out in an ampule and the content was stirred by a teflon-coated stirring bar at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath. The reduction to blue $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})$ titanocene chloride ocurred nearly instantly. After 2 h , the solution turned dark. It was separated from unreacted Mg , all volatiles evaporated,
and the residue was extensively extracted by hexane. A green solution was concentrated and cooled to $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 3 days. A separated turquoise crystalline material was washed by hexane, and dried in vacuum. Then it was dissolved in toluene, and the solution was investigated by ESR and UV-Vis spectra which revealed that it contains a mixture of the trinuclear $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{III})$ hydride complex $\operatorname{Mg}\left[\mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \operatorname{Pr}^{i}\right)\right\}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (3) and a dimeric complex containing the $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}(\mu-\mathrm{H})_{2} \mathrm{Mg}$ moiety (4). Cooling of a concentrated toluene solution to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 days afforded fine blue crystals which were separated and identified by X-ray single crystal diffraction to be compound 3. Crystals from three experiments which showed ESR spectra of $\mathbf{4}$ as a minor component in solution were collected and subjected to X-ray investigation, however, they always diffracted as $\mathbf{3}$. The structure of 4 therefore remains uncertain. The mother liquor after separation of 3 and 4 afforded a highly soluble in hexane amorphous solid 5 which did not crystallize. The structure of 5 is according to EI-MS, UV-Vis and ESR spectra an analogue of compound $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)\left\{\eta^{5}: \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{2^{-}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right\}\right]$ (Chart 2, C) obtained under similar conditions from $\left[\mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] [19]. The nature of the bridging carbon atom in a $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ti}$ bonding feature cannot be determined, however.

3: Yield $0.29 \mathrm{~g}(29 \%)$. M.p. $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with decomposition (gas evolution). EPR (toluene, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $g=1.990$ $\Delta H=14.5 \mathrm{G}$; (toluene, $-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): electronic triplet state, $g=1.990, D=0.01166 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, E=0 . \mathrm{UV}-V i s\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, toluene): $355 \gg 585 \mathrm{~nm}$ extending to 900 nm . EI MS $\left(220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ : $m / z$ (relative abundance, $\%$ ) $\left(M^{+}\right.$; 1008) not observed, 492 (15), 491 (38), $490\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}\right]^{+}\right.$; 79), $489\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 93\right)$, 488 (71), 487 (54), $486\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-4 \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 61\right), 485$ ( $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-5 \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 100$ ), 484 (34), 483 (48), 482 (15), 481 (19), $474\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Me}\right]^{+}\right.$; 8), $472\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Me}\right]^{+}\right.$; 9), $446\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Pr}^{i}\right]^{+} ; ~ 9\right), 73\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+} ; 25\right), 59$ ( $\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 20$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2951 (vs), 2940 (s), 2914 (s), 2862 (vs), 2720 (vw), 1482 (w), 1461 (m), 1380 (m), 1351 (m), 1329 (s), 1247 (vs), 1200 (b,s), 1127 (m), 1069 (vw), 1021 (m), 998 (m), 917 (vw), 881 (m), 831 (s), 808 (vs), 761 (s), 677 (m), 594 (w), 431 (m). In addition, a broad absorption band (ca. $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) at v $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be attributed to a bridging $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Mg}$ valence vibration [8,13-15].

4: $\operatorname{EPR}\left(23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, toluene $): ~ g=1.991, a_{\mathrm{H}}(1: 2: 1)=7.3 \mathrm{G}$, $\Delta H=3.6 \mathrm{G}, a_{\mathrm{Ti}}=6.9 \mathrm{G}$; (toluene, $-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $g_{1}=2.000$, $g_{2}=1.990, g_{3}=1.982\left(a_{3}(\mathrm{H})=12 \mathrm{G}\right)$.

5: Yield $0.37 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$. EI-MS $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance, \%) 492 (8), 491 (24), 490 (48), 489 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} ; 88$ ), 488 (44), 487 (62), 486 (62), $485\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-4 \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 100\right), 484$ (45), 483 (62), 482 (20), 481 (26), 474 ( $[M-\mathrm{Me}]^{+} ; 10$ ), 472 $\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Me}\right]^{+} ; 12\right), 446$ ([M-Pr$\left.\left.]^{i}\right]^{+} ; 16\right), 390$ (10), 388 (18), 73 ([ $\left.\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+} ; 35\right), 59\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 27\right) ;(15 \mathrm{eV}$, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $m / z$ (relative abundance, \%) 492 (10), 491 (27), 490 (50), 489 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$; 100), 488 (21), 487 (24), 486 (9), 485 (14). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2950 (vs), 2940 (sh), 2914 (s), 2860 (s), 1482 (w), 1461 (m), 1379 (m), 1329 (m), 1246 (s),

1129 (w), 1069 (vw), 1022 (m), 999 (m), 918 (vw), 880 (m), 833 (s), 811 (vs), 763 (s), 717 (vw), 681 (m), 593 (w), 576 (vw), 509 (vw), $480(\mathrm{w}), 430(\mathrm{~m})$. EPR ( $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hexane): $g=1.958, \quad \Delta H=12.0 \mathrm{G} . \quad \mathrm{UV}-\mathrm{V}$ is $\quad\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, hexane $)$ : $312(\mathrm{sh}) \gg 455>592 \mathrm{~nm}$.

### 3.6. Preparation of titanocene-btmse complex 6

Compound $\mathbf{1}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Mg}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}$, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ were degassed and btmse $(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and thf ( 30 ml ) were added by distillation in vacuum. This mixture was sealed out in an ampule and heated to left to react at room temperature until its color changed to intense blue. Then, the ampule was cooled in a freezer to $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with occasional shaking. The blue solution was slowly changing during several days to brownish yellow solution. This was separated from unreacted Mg , all volatiles evaporated, and the residue extracted by hexane $(10 \mathrm{ml})$. A yellow hexane solution was concentrated to ca. 3 ml and cooled to $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Yellow crystals were separated from mother liquor, washed with condensing hexane, and dried in vacuum. Yield of yellow crystals $0.95 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$.

6: M.p. $76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (no decomposition in sealed capillary under nitrogen). EI MS $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): m / z$ (relative abundance, \%) ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$; 660) not observed, 493 (9), 492 (26), 491 (56), $490\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}\right]^{+} ; 84\right), 489(37), 488\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 39\right), 487$ (17), 486 (20), 485 (33), 484 (11), 483 (16), 385 (12), 383 (10), 381 (7), 346 (12), 170 ([btmse] $]^{+\cdot}$; 29), 157 (26), 156 (57), 155 ([btmse-Me] ${ }^{+}$; 100), 97 (20), 83 (18), 74 (9), 73 $\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+} ; 86\right), 70(15), 59\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+} ; 48\right)$. The spectrum was not constant: peaks due to btmse $m / z$ 170, 157-155 were ceasing during evaporation. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2950 (vs), 2919 (s), 2904 (s), 2861 (vs), 2720 (vw), 1634 (w), 1599 (s), 1562 (w), 1462 (s), 1379 (s), 1345 (w), 1326 (m), 1316 (m), 1248 (vs), 1129 (w), 1067 (vw), 1019 (m), 1001 (s), 918 (vw), 880 (s), 831 (vs,b), 815 (vs), 761 (s), 673 (m), 654 (m), 619 (vw), 594 (w), 445 (s), 426 (m). UV-near IR (hexane, $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): 940 nm .

### 3.7. Preparation of titanocene 7

$\operatorname{Bis}\{($ isopropyldimethylsilyl)tetramethylcyclopentadienyl\}titanium 7 was obtained nearly pure by thermolysis of complex 6. The yellow btmse complex $6(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was loaded into an ampule as a solution in 5 ml of hexane. The ampule was sealed to another ampule which was evacuated to a high vacuum. After opening a breakable seal all the empty ampule was cooled by liquid nitrogen and a loaded ampule was slowly warmed to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept at this temperature for 2 h . Then, the ampules were sealed off, one containing hexane and btmse and the other nearly pure titanocene 7. Crystallization from hexane afforded pure 7 as a somewhat less soluble fraction. Color of crystals was changing dependent on the angle of incident light from pale purple to pale green. The conversion of 6 to 7 is essentially quantitative, however, yield of recrystallized product was $0.33 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%)$.
M.p. $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. EI MS $\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): m / z$ (relative abundance, $\%$ ) 493 (9), 492 (24), 491 (52), $490\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} ; 100\right), 489$ (42), 488 $\left(\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 51\right), 487$ (17), 486 (20), 485 (33), 484 (11), 483 (16), 385 (12), 383 (10), 381 (7), 346 (10), 179 (9), 177 (9), 101 (8), $73\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right]^{+}\right.$; 81), $59\left(\left[\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]^{+}\right.$; 43). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2953 (s), 2934 (s), 2912 (s), 2888 (sh), 2861 (vs), 2729 (vw), 1462 (m), 1381 (m), 1361 (vw), 1330 (m), 1316 (s), 1248 (vs), 1127 (w), 1071 (vw), 1021 (m), 998 (m), 917 (vw), 883 (m), 831 (s), 808 (vs), $762(\mathrm{~s}), 679(\mathrm{~m})$, 590 (w), 442 (m), 435 (m), 428 (m). UV-Vis (hexane, $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $578 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): 3.5 $\left(\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx 90 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, \quad \operatorname{Si} M e_{2}\right) ; \quad 4.3 \quad\left(\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx 75 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{C} H \mathrm{Me}_{2}$, $) ; 6.6\left(\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx 40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe} e_{2}\right.$ ) ; $25.1\left(\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx\right.$ $150 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{CpMe}-\beta) ; \quad 78.2 \quad\left(\Delta v_{1 / 2} \approx 320 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{CpMe}_{4}-\alpha$.

Positions and half-widths of all observed signals are temperature dependent, with chemical shifts obeying the Curie law in the studied temperature range 293-333 K. The assignment of resonances is based on previous data for $\left[\operatorname{Ti}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)\right\}_{2}\right] \quad[1], \quad\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left\{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}(\mathrm{Si}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}$ ] [2,24], $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right)_{2}\right]$ [5], and $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}$ ] [4a]. The chemical shifts of resonances of two pairs of methyl groups in $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-position with respect to the $\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Pr}^{i}$ substituent were tentatively assigned under the assumption that $\beta-\mathrm{Me}$ group that are surrounded by methyls only will be shielded similarly like Me groups in $\left[\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ where signals of Me protons appear at $22.6 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\right.$ at $34{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) [4a].

### 3.8. X-ray crystallography

Crystals or crystal fragments of compounds 2, 3, 6, and 7 were inserted into Lindemann glass capillaries in a glovebox and sealed by a wax. A red needle of compound 1 was mounted on a glass capillary with epoxy cement. Diffraction data were collected on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer. The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR-92, [25]) and refined by fullmatrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ (SHELXL-97 [26]). Relevant crystallographic data are given in Table 4. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were fixed and refined in their theoretical positions except the bridging hydrides in $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{H}(1), \mathrm{H}(2))$. These were identified on difference electron density maps and refined with isotropic thermal motion parameters. The structure determination of compound 7 is hampered by vast disorder. The whole molecule is disordered along non-space group mirror going through $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Cl}$ atoms. Only atoms of isopropyl moiety and their "mirror" images differed sufficiently to be split into two positions and refined isotropically, for the rest of the molecule the disordered atoms are too close to each other to be distinguished and their disorder results in large displacement factor and consequently in low precision of structure determination. Neither change of space group symmetry or new measurement with another crystal at lower temperature afforded better results.

Table 4
Crystallographic data, data collection and structure refinement for compounds $\mathbf{1 , 2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{6}$, and $\mathbf{7}$

| Compound | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{ClSi}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{104} \mathrm{MgSi}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{Si}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ |
| Molecular weight | 561.66 | 526.21 | 1009.86 | 661.16 | 490.76 |
| Temperature (K) | 150(2) | 150(2) | 150(2) | 293(2) | 150(2) |
| Crystal description | red needle | turquoise bloc | blue prism | yellow bar | purple fragment |
| Crystal size ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | $0.18 \times 0.10 \times 0.03$ | $0.50 \times 0.50 \times 0.10$ | $0.40 \times 0.38 \times 0.18$ | $0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.18$ | $0.83 \times 0.50 \times 0.28$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | orthorhombic | monoclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | Cc | Pbcn (No. 60) | $P 2{ }_{1} / c$ | $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 8.1310(2) | 32.8430(7) | 10.3460(5) | 18.7000(6) | 12.8720(10) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 17.0800(5) | 8.8790(2) | 29.2720 (7) | 16.0610(7) | 8.5060(4) |
| $c(\AA)$ | $22.0430(5)$ | 21.4310(4) | 19.8770 (10) | 13.7773 (4) | 13.8120(10) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 92.6060(16) | 105.8290(12) | 90 | 96.751(2) | 96.994(2) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 3058.11(14) | 6012.6(2) | 6019.7(4) | 4109.2(3) | 1501.01(17) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| $D_{\text {c }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.220 | 1.163 | 1.114 | 1.069 | 1.086 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.548 | 0.467 | 0.388 | 0.346 | 0.378 |
| $F(000)$ | 1208 | 2280 | 2208 | 1448 | 536 |
| $\theta$ Range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 3.02-27.47 | 2.98-27.49 | 3.16-24.63 | 3.71-27.50 | 2.82-32.04 |
| Measured diffractions | 27,464 | 27,826 | 40,779 | 36,987 | 11,412 |
| Unique diffractions | 6993 | 12,685 | 3828 | 9366 | 5139 |
| Observed diffractions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4655 | 10,688 | 2992 | 4938 | 3789 |
| Parameters | 314 | 611 | 310 | 392 | 145 |
| $R, w R$ obsd. diffractions | $0.0445,0.0936$ | 0.0457, 0.0871 | 0.0404, 0.0895 | 0.0600, 0.1320 | 0.1011, 0.2598 |
| $R, w R$ all data | 0.0872, 0.1084 | 0.0636, 0.0952 | 0.0577, 0.0981 | 0.1363, 0.1687 | 0.1284, 0.2833 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.032 | 1.004 | 1.054 | 1.019 | 1.030 |
| $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.298, -0.364 | 0.115, -0.104 | 0.270, -0.215 | 0.262, -0.305 | 1.957, -0.504 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Diffraction with $I_{\mathrm{o}}>2 \sigma\left(I_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$.
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## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Crystallographic data, excluding structure factors, have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (1: CCDC-278045, 2: CCDC-278047, 3: CCDC278046, 6: CCDC-278048, 7: CCDC-278049). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge upon application to CCDC (e-mail: deposit@ccdc.ac.uk). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2005.10.018.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Cg} 1$ denotes the centroid of the $\mathrm{C}(1-5)$ cyclopentadienyl ring atoms; Cg 2 is the centroid of the $\mathrm{C}(10-14)$ cyclopentadienyl ring.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Nonbonding distance or angle.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Prime-labeled equivalent positions are generated by the symmetry operation $(-x, y, 1 / 2-z)$.
    ${ }^{d}$ Dihedral angle between the least-squares cyclopentadienyl planes $(\varphi(1)$ at $\operatorname{Ti}(1), \varphi(2)$ at $\operatorname{Ti}(2)$ atoms).
    ${ }^{e}$ Dihedral angle between the planes defined by the $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{H}(1)$, and $\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{H}(2)$, and $\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ atoms.

